

FILED
CLERK OF COURT

2026 OCT 16 AM 10:11

SUPERIOR COURT
OF GUAM



IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM

PEOPLE OF GUAM,	)	CRIMINAL CASE NO. CF0130-22
	)	GPD REPORT NO. 22-03604
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
CALVIN CALVIN LIKIAK JR.,	)	DECISION AND ORDER RE.
aka Calvin Likiak; aka Screamer;	)	PEOPLE'S MOTION TO REVOKE
aka June Likiak,	)	DEFENDANT'S PROBATION AND
DOB: 10/30/1997	)	IMPOSE JAIL SENTENCE
	)	
Defendant.	)	

INTRODUCTION

This matter came before the Honorable Maria T. Cenzone on June 12, 2026, for a Revocation Hearing on the People of Guam's ("People") Motion to Revoke Defendant Calvin Calvin Likiak Jr.'s ("Defendant" or "Defendant Likiak") Probation and Impose Jail Sentence ("Motion to Revoke"). Defendant was present at the hearing of this matter with counsel, Assistant Public Defender Jocelyn Roden. Assistant Attorney General Valerie Nuesa represented the People. After the hearing, the Court took the Motion under advisement pursuant to CVR 7.1(e)(6)(D) of the LOCAL RULES OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM.

After reviewing the People's Motion and the Defendant's Opposition, oral arguments from the parties, and the applicable statutes and case law, the Court now issues this Decision and Order **GRANTING** the People's Motion.

//

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25
26
27
28

1 Court issued a summons compelling Defendant to appear for a Violation Hearing on December
2 3, 2024. *See Summons* (Oct. 22, 2024). Following an affidavit of nonservice and a Violation
3 Hearing where the Defendant did not attend, the Court issued a Bench Warrant for the
4 Defendant's arrest on January 10, 2025. *See Min. Entry* (Dec. 3, 2024); *Affid. Of Non-Service*
5 (Nov. 25, 2024); *Bench Warrant* (Jan. 10, 2025).
6

7 On August 13, 2025, Probation filed a Third Violation Report. In this report, Probation
8 indicates the Defendant failed to report for his Anger and Stress Management Program (ASMP),
9 missing two of his scheduled sessions; failed to make payments for court costs and ASMP tuition
10 fee; and failed to perform any community service. *See Third Vio. Rpt.* (Aug. 12, 2025). The
11 Defendant was arrested on August 20, 2025, and the Court held a Return of Warrant Hearing on
12 August 26, 2025. At the hearing, the People notified the Court of its intention to file a Motion to
13 Revoke Probation, and the Court continued to hold the Defendant in this matter pending the
14 Revocation Hearing. *See Min Entry* (Aug. 26, 2025). The People filed its Motion to Revoke
15 Probation on September 5, 2025, and Defendant filed his opposition on September 19, 2025.
16
17

18 At the Revocation Hearing on November 14, 2025, The People sought revocation of
19 Defendant's probation due to his numerous violations and noncompliance with court orders,
20 specifically failing to report to the Probation office, to complete ASMP, to making payments
21 towards courts costs, and to perform community service hours. *See Min. Entry* (Nov. 14, 2025).
22 Defendant asked the Court for another chance to comply with court orders. *Id.* The Court decided
23 to hold the People's motion in abeyance, release Defendant the same day as the hearing, and
24 extend probation seven (7) months to expire on June 13, 2026. *Id.* The Court informed Defendant
25 that this was the last chance to follow conditions.
26
27
28

1 Probation filed a Fourth Violation Report on January 8, 2026, for the Defendant's
2 violation of failing to refrain from ingesting/consuming illegal controlled substances. *See Fourth*
3 *Vio. Rpt.* (Jan. 8, 2026). The Defendant testing positive for methamphetamines at a drug test with
4 the Probation Office. *Id.* The Court then issued a summons to command Defendant to appear for
5 a Revocation Hearing.
6

7 On May 6, 2026, The People informed the Court that the Defendant appeared before the
8 Magistrate Judge in new charges: CRIMINAL MISCHIEF (As a Misdemeanor) and ASSAULT
9 (As a Misdemeanor), AGGRAVATED ASSAULT (As a 2nd Degree Felony), AGGRAVATED
10 ASSAULT (As a 3rd Degree Felony), and TERROIZING (As a 3rd Degree Felony). *See Info. Rpt.*
11 (May 6, 2026).
12

13 The Fifth Violation Report, filed by Probation on May 6, 2026, contends the same
14 violations as the First Violation Report: failure to monthly report to the Probation Office, last
15 reporting on January 6, 2026; failure to attend and complete ASMP; failure to make any payments
16 to the court cost; and failure to complete any community service hours. *See Fifth Vio. Rpt.* (May
17 6, 2026).
18

19 On June 12, 2026, the Court held a second Revocation Hearing for Defendant. In the
20 hearing, Defendant admits to failing to complete his terms of probation despite repeated
21 opportunities to do so. *See Min. Entry* (June 12, 2026).
22

23 DISCUSSION

24 Guam law specifies the procedures that the Court must follow for revocation of probation.
25 The relevant statute, in its entirety, states as follows:
26

27 [T]he court, if satisfied that the offender has inexcusably failed to comply with a
28 substantial requirement imposed as a condition of the order may revoke the
suspension or probation and sentence or re-sentence the offender. Violation of a
condition shall not result in revocation, however, unless the court determines that

1 revocation under all the circumstance then existing will best satisfy the ends of
2 justice and the best interests of the public.

3 9 GCA § 80.66(a)(2). The process for revoking an offender's probation has been further
4 explained by the Guam Supreme Court as follows:

5 Probation revocation is a two-step process. First, the trial court must make a
6 factual determination that a violation of probation actually has occurred. If a
7 violation is proven, then the Court must determine if the violation warrants
8 revocation of the probation.

9 *People of Guam v. Camacho*, 2009 Guam 6 ¶ 27 (internal citations omitted).

10 To revoke a defendant's probation, evidence and facts presented to the Court must be
11 "reasonably necessary to satisfy the judge that the probationer's conduct has not been as required
12 by the conditions of probation." *Id.* At ¶ 30 (citing *People v. Angoco*, 1998 Guam 10). The Court
13 also cannot order revocation unless the offender is provided with written notice of grounds for
14 revocation of probation. 9 GCA § 80.68(a). At the hearing, the offender shall "have the right to
15 hear and controvert the evidence against him, to offer evidence in his defense and to be
16 represented by counsel." *Id.* Should the Court revoke an offender's probation, "it may impose on
17 the offender any sentence that might have been imposed originally for the crime of which he was
18 convicted." 9 GCA § 80.66(b).

19
20 **A. The Court determines that a violation of probation has occurred.**

21 Pursuant to the first *Camacho* prong, the Court first turns to make a factual determination
22 of whether a violation of probation has occurred. There are no factual disputes that a violation of
23 Defendant's probation has occurred, and Defendant has admitted to all the violations.
24

- 25 1. **First Violation on March 13, 2023**: Defendant failed to report monthly to the
26 Probation Office, last reporting on August 18, 2022. Defendant failed to attend and
27 complete ASMP. Defendant failed to make any payments towards the eighty-dollar
28

1 (\$80.00) court costs. Defendant failed to perform and complete twenty-five hours (25)
2 of community service.

3 2. **Second Violation on October 11, 2024:** Defendant failed to submit to Urinalysis
4 Test.

5 3. **Third Violation on August 13, 2025:** Defendant failed to report to his ASMP.
6 Defendant failed to make any payments towards the eighty-dollar (\$80.00) court
7 costs. Defendant failed to perform and complete twenty-five hours (25) of community
8 service.
9

10 4. **Fourth Violation on January 8, 2026:** The Defendant failed to refrain from
11 ingesting/consuming illegal controlled substances.
12

13 5. **Fifth Violation on May 6, 2026:** Defendant failed to report monthly to the
14 Probation Office, last reporting on January 6, 2026. Defendant failed to attend and
15 complete ASMP. Defendant failed to make any payments towards the eight-dollar
16 (\$80.00) court costs. Defendant failed to perform and complete twenty-five hours
17 (25) of community service.
18

19 Defendant Likiak has admitted to all the violations. For these reasons the Court
20 determines that the first *Camacho* prong is satisfied.
21

22 **B. The Court determines that revocation of probation is warranted.**

23 Pursuant to the second *Camacho* prong, the Court next turns to determine whether
24 revocation of Defendant's probation is warranted. In making this determination, the Court must
25 resolve whether "revocation under all the circumstances ... will best satisfy the ends of justice
26 and the best interests of the public." 9 GCA § 80.66(a)(2). Upon review of the record, the Court
27 finds a history of Defendant's non-compliance with the conditions set by this Court and pursuant
28

1 to the Plea Agreement he has agreed to follow. Since entering probation, Defendant has
2 consistently failed to report to Probation, causing the Court to issue two (2) warrants for his arrest
3 and hold two (2) Return of Warrant hearings. The Defendant has not completed ASMP and failed
4 to attend scheduled appointments, demonstrating an unwillingness to avail himself to counseling
5 and treatment. The Defendant has made no progress towards paying court costs or completing
6 community service hours.
7


8 Further, the Court has now held two (2) Revocation Hearings for the Defendant. In the
9 first Revocation Hearing, the Court suspended the People's Motion for Revocation of Probation
10 and made clear to Defendant that this was his last chance, emphasizing the importance of
11 Defendant's participation in ASMP. Even with these multiple warnings, Defendant incurred two
12 more Violation Reports and additional charges. The Court has provided Defendant with multiple
13 opportunities short of revocation, including extensions of his probation period. Yet, the
14 Defendant has made no meaningful progress on the conditions of his probation.
15
16

17 For the above reasons, the Court determines that the second *Camacho* prong is satisfied,
18 and revocation is warranted in this case.
19

20 CONCLUSION

21 For the reasons set forth above, the Court hereby **GRANTS** the People's Motion to
22 Revoke Defendant's Probation and Impose Jail Sentence. The Court shall issue a Judgment of
23 Revocation concurrent with this Decision and Order.

24 SO ORDERED this 16th day of October, 2026.

25
26
27 

28 **HONORABLE MARIA T. CENZON**
Judge, Superior Court of Guam